Approved for use through xx/xx/x

	Applica	nt Initiated Interview	Request Fo	rm	/xxxx. OMB 0651-0 ENT OF COMMER
Application No.: (Examiner: Quian	09/689,430 J. Li	First Named Applicam: W Art Unit: 1632	alsh		Finally rejected
Tentative Particit (1) Murray Spru	oanis: III, Alston &Bird	(2) Kim Wietes Cl	ary, Targoted	Genetics	
(3) Kathryn Coul	ter, Alston & Bi	rd (4) Catherine Poli			
Proposed Date of 1	Interview: 9/02/	2003 Proposed Time: 3			
Type of Interview 1) ⊠ Telephonic ¤hibit Ta Be Shov	(2) 🔲 I	ersonal (3) 🗌 Vid	eo Conferenc	e ´	
yes, provide brie	f description: —	ited: 🗌 YES 🔯 1	NO		
		Issues To Be Discuss	ed		
sues ej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
Rejection under U.S.C. § 103	Claims 1, 3- 11, 13-18, 20, 58-77, 79-88, and 90-92	Vorachek et al.			
Rejection under U.S.C. § 103	Claim 12	Chuah et al., Gnatenko et Dwarki et al., Robbins,	al.		
Rejection under J.S.C. § 103	Claims 2 and 19	Pittman et al., Ill et al. Dwarki et al., Robbins Pittman et al., Gao et al.			
Continuation Shoe	t Attached	oran, odd er ar.			
eved that a strong pain deleted FVIII (ls of transcriptions	romoter would b rom a gene therap l activity. The pr	Presented: The TAAV vector cause prior to the Applicate required in order to achieve vector, and the TAAV IT for art does not teach or sug	ous discresure, therapeutic l R was known i gest the claime	those of skevels of exp the art to d invention	ill in the art
nterview was con: E:	lucted on the ab	ove-identified application	on		
orm should be com P & 713.01)	pleted by applicar	it and submitted to the exam	iner in advance	of the Inter-	view (see
		sue because of applicant's fa to file a statement of the subs			
then I	. Coulte	.)	Shil	\mathcal{I}	
cant/Applicant's Re		•	//		

AUG. -28' 03 (THU) 109:18

OFFICIAL

PTOL-413A (12-02)
Approved for use through xx/xx/xxxx. OMD 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICATION

	Applies	U.S. Patent and Tri	demark Office: U.	N. DUPARTM	ENT OF COMM
	Aphica	nt Initiated Interview	Request Fo	rm	
Application No.: (Examiner: Quian Tentative Particip	J. Li ionts:	First Named Applicam: W Art Unit: 1632	Status of A		Finally reject
(1) Murray Spru		()	lary, Targoted	Genetics	
(3) Kathryn Coul	ter, Alston & Bit	rd (4) Catherine Poli	zzi, Morríson i	Foerster	
Proposed Date of	interview: 9/02/	2003 Proposed Time: ;			
Type of Interview (1) Telephonic	(2) 🔲 Pa	ersonal (3) 🗌 Vic	leo Conferenc	e [†]	
Exhibit Ta Be Shov If yes, provide brie	wn or Demonstra f description: 	ited: 🗌 YES 🗵	NO		
		Issues To Be Discuss	ed		
issues Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
1)Rejection under 5 U.S.C. § 103	Claims 1, 3- 11, 13-18, 20, 58-77, 79-88,	Dwarki et al., Robbins, Pittman et al., Vorachek et al.			
2) Rejection under 5 U.S.C. § 103 1) Rejection under	and 90-92 Claim 12	Chush et al., Gnatenko et Dwarki et al., Robbins, Pitman et al., Ill et al.	al.		
U.S.C. § 103	Claims 2 and 19	Dwarki et al., Robbins Pittman et al., Gao et al.			
Continuation Shee	t Attached				
neved that a strong p main deleted FVIII i els of transcriptions	promoter would be from a gene therap I activity. The pr	Presented: The TAAV ver because prior to the Applica e required in order to achie by vector, and the TAAV IT ior art does not teach or sup	ve therapeutic l' R was known i gest the claim	those of sk evels of exp in the art to ed invention	ill in the art
interview was con-	ducted on the ab	ove-identified application	on_		
is form should be con EP § 713.01).	pleted by applicat	ot and submitted to the exam	niner in advance	of the Inter	
rview. Therefore, ap oon as possible.	plicant is advised t	sue because of applicant's fi to file a statement of the sub	ailure to submit stance of this in	a written re erview (37 (cord of this CFR1.133(b))
athum 2			k 2 /	2	,
plicant/Applicant's R		•			

Part of Paper No. 21